

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/044,264	01/11/2002	Daniel R. Lane	22-0192 7858		
7590 03/21/2005			EXAMINER		
Christopher P Harris			DOAN, KIET M		
Tarolll Sundheim Covell & Tummino LLP 526 Superior Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 1111			2683		
Cleveland, OH 44114-1400			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)					
		044,264	LANE ET AL.				
		ıminer	Art Unit				
	· -	Doan	2683				
The MAILING DATE of this openiod for Reply	ommunication appears	on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CC - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date c - If the period for reply specified above is less the - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion day reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	MMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). f this communication. an thirty (30) days, a reply within aximum statutory period will app od for reply will, by statute, cause e months after the mailing date of	In no event, however, may a reply to the statutory minimum of thirty (30 by and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS the application to become ABAND	pe timely filed) days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this co ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.			
Status							
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>06 Decem</u>	<u>nber 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) This action	on is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•					
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to a subject	is/are withdrawn fro d. ed to.						
Application Papers				ć			
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 Ja</u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , ,	•	∍r.			
Applicant may not request that		•	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) 11) The oath or declaration is ob	-		•	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) No 1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified application from the Ir * See the attached detailed Offi	ne of: priority documents hav priority documents hav copies of the priority do ternational Bureau (PC	re been received. re been received in Appli ocuments have been rec T Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Sumr					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTo Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nil Date Patent Application (PTO	-152)			

Art Unit: 2683

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is response to Amendment file on December 06, 2004.

Claim 1, 3, 5-7 and 14 are amended.

Claim 20 added.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 06, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument in **claims 1, 4** that Sarraf et al. (Patent No. 6,175,719) **fail to teach or suggest** intermediate signals in the C-band. Examiner respectfully disagrees, further notice that in references Adiwoso et al. (Patent No. 5,963,862) teaches intermediate signals in the C-band and IF section in a multi-beam satellite (C13, L54-67, Fig.8, No.316, No.317 Illustrate intermediate signals in the C-band and C4, L25-40, Fig.1, No.12 Illustrate multi-beam satellite).

Therefore, intermediate signals in the C-band and IF section in a multi-beam satellite is a broadest reasonable interpretation and it is proper.

In response to applicant's argument in claims 12 and 19 that Blasing et al.

(Patent No. 5,771,449) fail to teaches or suggest a local oscillator employed to select translation amounts of intermediate signals. Examiner respectfully disagrees and

Art Unit: 2683

further notice that in references Adiwoso et al. (Patent No. 5,963,862) teaches a local oscillator employed to select translation amounts of intermediate signals (C6, I58-67, C11, L42-56, teach selected frequency).

Therefore, a local oscillator employed to select translation amounts of intermediate signals is a broadest reasonable interpretation and it is proper

In response to applicant's argument in **claim 14** that Anselmo et al. (patent No. 6,125,261) **fail to teaches or suggest** down-converting a plurality of spot beams to a plurality of intermediate frequencies, wherein said intermediate frequency are in the C-band. Examiner respectfully disagrees in Anselmo et al. reference teaches down-converting a plurality of spot beams to a plurality of intermediate frequencies (C2, L19-25, C5, L7-11, teach the down-converting a plurality of spot beams to a plurality of intermediate frequencies).

Adiwoso et al. (Patent No. 5,963,862) teaches wherein said intermediate frequency are in the C-band (C13, L54-67, Fig.8, No.316, No.317 Illustrate intermediate signals in the C-band).

Therefore, down-converting a plurality of spot beams to a plurality of intermediate frequencies, wherein said intermediate frequency are in the C-band is a broadest reasonable interpretation and it is proper.

Art Unit: 2683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Sarraf et al. (Patent No. 6,175,719) in view of Adiwoso et al. (Patent No. 5,963,862).

Consider claims 1 and 20, Sarraf teaches a multi-beam satellite comprising (Col 1, lines 52-53, Fig.1, No.18 teach multi-spot-beam satellite) an input section to receive a plurality of uplink spot beams in a first range of frequencies (Col 2, lines 1-5 teach plurality of uplink which receive signal that would be the input section of first range frequency) an output section to transmit a plurality of downlink spot beams in a second range of frequencies (Col 2, lines 5-9 teach plurality of downlink which transmit signal that would be output section of second range frequency) said IF section to down-convert said plurality of uplink spot beams in said first range of frequencies to a plurality of intermediate signals in an intermediate range of frequencies (Col 3, lines 13-34 teach uplink spot beam with down-convert and IF) flexibly and selectively switch and filter said plurality of intermediate signals in said intermediate range of frequencies (Col 2, line 62, Col 3, lines 54-60 teach flexibly, selectively switch and filter) and up-convert said plurality of intermediate signals in said intermediate range of frequencies to said plurality of downlink spot beams in said second range of frequencies (Col 3, lines 20-34

teach downlink with up converter). Sarraf teach the claim limitation **but is silent on** and an IF section coupled between said input section and said output section, wherein said intermediate range of frequency are in the C-band.

In an analogous art, Adiwoso teach "Integrated Telecommunications System Providing Fixed and Mobile Satellite-Based Services". Further Adiwoso teaches and an IF section coupled between said input section and said output section,. (Col 4, 44-45, Col 5, lines 34-42 teach satellite coupled with NCC and multi-media device which would be coupled input/output section, and further Fig.8, No.316, show IF section coupled between input/output section), wherein said intermediate range of frequency are in the C-band (C13, L54-67, Fig.8, No.316, No.317 Illustrate intermediate signals in the C-band).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants invention to included, within Sarraf system, Integrated Telecommunications, as taught by Adiwoso to modify the system that would provided low cost and high performance.

Consider **claim 2**, further Adiwoso teaches the satellite wherein said first range of frequencies and said second range of frequencies are in a different band of satellite frequencies than the intermediate range of frequencies (Col 4, lines 31-43 teach multip-beam-users link frequency comprise different band which would be (up/down link) first/second range of frequency).

Consider **claim 3**, further Adiwoso teaches The satellite of claim 2, wherein said first range of frequencies and said second range of frequencies are in the K-band (Col 6, lines 49-67 teach frequency K-band).

Consider **claim 6**, further Adiwoso teaches the satellite wherein said satellite allocates combined returned signals from among said one of said plurality of first spot beams selected to contain a gateway by switching said plurality of first spot beams (Col 4, lines 37-38, 61-67 teach multi-beam access link which would be plurality of first spot beam and connect with gateway station).

Consider **claim 7**, further Adiwoso teaches the satellite wherein said satellite further comprises a RF module mounted on an antenna, said RF module including a low noise amplifier, or a combination of a low noise amplifier and down-converter (LNA D/C). Sarraf teaches or a combination of a low noise amplifier and down-converter (LNA D/C) and redundancy switching (Col 12, lines 22-33, Col 13, lines 54-67, Fig.7 No.131a/b teach traffic equipment coupled with radio frequency which would be RF module mounted on antenna dish and switching between feed horns mean redundancy switching).

Consider **claim 8**, further Adiwoso teaches the satellite wherein coaxial cables are used to route signals from said RF modules (Col 13, lines 54-61 teach RF frequency

coupled with hardware traffic equipment such as antenna dish which would be obvious coaxial cable are use).

Consider **claim 11**, further Adiwoso teaches the satellite wherein a first frequency translation is performed on said plurality of uplink spot beams and a second frequency translation, different from the first frequency translation, is performed on a second plurality of uplink spot beams (Col 6, lines 35-57 teach performed uplink and different frequency).

Consider **claim 4**, Sarraf teaches the satellite wherein said IF section upconverts said plurality of intermediate signals in said range of intermediate frequencies with selectable translation amounts (Col 3, lines 45-60 teach upconverters and with intermediate frequency and select bands mean as select translation).

Consider **claim 5**, Sarraf teaches the satellite wherein said payload architecture allocates capacity among said plurality of uplink spot beams by switching and filtering of said plurality of uplink spot beams in said IF section (Col 3, lines 8-14, 50-60 teach uplink switching and filter in IF section).

Consider **claim 9**, Sarraf teaches the satellite wherein said down-conversions comprise a different translation for different uplink beams (Col 3, lines 13-17 teach uplink and downconverter which separates signal of opposite polarization mean as

different translation).

Consider claim 10, Sarraf teaches the satellite a first frequency translation is implemented on a first polarization and a second frequency translation, different from the first frequency translation, is implemented on a polarization opposite to the first polarization (Col 3, lines 14-20, Col 4, lines 1-7 teach polarization and signal of opposites polarization).

Page 8

Consider claim 13, Sarraf teaches The wherein said IF section performs block down-conversions and the rearrangement and selection of said plurality of uplink spot beam frequencies is performed by selectable up-conversions (Col 3, lines 14-49 teach uplink and performed upconverters).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 12 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sarraf et al. (Patent No. 6,175,719) in view of Adiwoso et al. (patent No. 5,963,862) and further view of Blasing et al. (Patent No. 5,771,449)

Consider **claims 12 and 19**, Sarraf and Adiwoso tech the claimed limitation as above **but is silent on** the satellite wherein said selectable (frequency) translation amounts are obtained by selection of different local oscillation frequencies.

In an analogous art, Blasing teach "Sectorized Multi-function Communication System". Further Blasing teach the satellite wherein said selectable (frequency) translation amounts are obtained by selection of different local oscillation frequencies (Col 20, lines 24-33 teach local oscillator which could be select).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants invention to included, within Sarraf and Adiwoso system, sectorized multifuntion communication system, as taught by Blasing to modify the system that would provided the use of satellite that flexibility to select different LO frequency according to the need.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anselmo et al. (Patent No. 6,125,261) in view of Adiwoso et al. (Patent No. 5,963,862).

Consider **claim 14**, Anselmo teaches a method of switching a plurality of uplink spot beams to a plurality of downlink spot beams in a multi-beam satellite (Col 2, lines 30-35, Col 4, lines 1-5 teach switching a plurality of uplink/downlink) said method comprising: down-converting said plurality of uplink spot beams to a plurality of intermediate frequencies (Col 5, lines 7-14, Col 6, lines 10-16 teach intermediate frequency and circuit switch which beam received and down converting to switch circuit)

Anselmo teach the claimed limitation as above and fail to teach, wherein said intermediate frequency are in C-band, selectively switching and filtering said plurality of uplink spot beams at said plurality of intermediate frequencies; and up-converting said switched and filtered uplink spot beams from said intermediate frequencies by a plurality of selectable frequency translation amounts to the frequencies of said plurality of downlink spot beams.

Adiwoso teaches wherein said intermediate frequency are in C-band (C13, L54-67, Fig.8, No.316, No.317, Illustrate intermediate frequency are in C-band) selectively switching and filtering said plurality of uplink spot beams at said plurality of intermediate frequencies; and up-converting said switched and filtered uplink spot beams from said intermediate frequencies by a plurality of selectable frequency translation amounts to the frequencies of said plurality of downlink spot beams, selectively switching and filtering said plurality of uplink spot beams at said plurality of intermediate frequencies (Col 5, lines 42-62 teach uplink frequency subband filter switch matrix and select intermediate frequency) and up-converting said switched and filtered uplink spot beams from said intermediate frequencies by a plurality of selectable frequency translation

amounts to the frequencies of said plurality of downlink spot beams (Col 5, lines 34-65, Col 8, lines 23-27 teach UFSM which would be switch, filter uplink with select IF and process into downlink).

Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants invention to included, within Anselmo system, system and satellite payload, as taught by Rarraf to modify the system that would provided a method of switching that server the demand of high and low end users in affordable connection.

Consider **claim 15**, further Sarraf teaches the method wherein said switching and filtering serves to allocate capacity among said plurality of uplink spot beams (Col 2, line 15, Col 9, lines 1-10 teach switch and filtering allocate capacity of uplink).

Consider **claim 16**, further Sarraf teach the method wherein said plurality of uplink spot beams are down-converted by different translations (Col 5, lines 15-28 teach uplink are down-convert by different rates which would be different translation).

Consider **claim 17**, further Sarraf teaches the method wherein uplink spot beams are block down-converted by a different translation than other uplink spot beams (Col 5, lines 15-28, Col 6, lines 48-67, Col 7, lines 1-10 teach satellite access control center and broadcast channel management center that would control means block down-convert and different translation than other uplink spot beams).

Consider **claim 18**, further Sarraf teaches the method wherein the uplink spot beams received at a first polarization are block down-converted by a different translation than the uplink spot beams received at a second polarization (Col 5, lines 15-50, Col 6, lines 62-67, Col 7, lines 1-10 teach uplink with polarization).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2683

Page 13

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiet Doan whose telephone number is 703-305-4749. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 703-308-5318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kiet Doan

Patent Examiner

WILLIAM TROST
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600